Section 10.0 Fiscal Analysis

The purpose of this section is to provide a detailed analysis of the expenditures required to implement the JURMP. The main purpose of this analysis is to enable the City to determine the level of resources needed to meet the requirements of the Permit.

Although the Regional Water Quality Control Board staff down plays the importance of this portion of the analysis - based on comments made in public hearings during the new permit process and comments in Regional Board staff reports - the City considers the analysis vital in determining the City's ability to effectively meet the conditions of the Permit.

## 10.1 Cost Breakdown

The analysis was performed as outlined in the JURMP, by assigning specific expenditure categories into the general categories suggested in Permit section F.8. The analysis is presented in Table 10.1.

Table 10.1 – 2009/2010 Fiscal Analysis Summary

Stormwater Cost Analysis
All costs covered in the General Fund.

ACTIVITY	CURRENT YEARLY BUDGET	CURRENT BUDGET LOCATION
STORM DRAINAGE CLEANING AND MAINTENANCE		
Public Street and Parking Lot Sweeping		Streets
Streets	\$30,000	
Parking Lots	\$2,000	
Public Street and Parking Lot Sweeping To	otal \$32,000	
Litter Removal		
Litter Removal	\$13,000	
Litter Removal To	otal \$13,000	
Storm Drainage Cleaning		
Inlets		
Inspection and Cleaning	\$19,820	
Fossil filter replacements	\$1,400	
Inlets Subto	otal \$21,220	
Basins and Channels		
Inspection and Cleaning (Note 1)	\$38,829	
Basins and Channels Subto	otal \$38,829	
Video Inspections		
Video Inspections (Note 1)	\$50,000	

Video Inspection Subtotal	\$50,000	
Storm Drainage Cleaning and Maintenance Total	\$155,049	
STORM EVENT ACTIVITIES		
Sandbag stock piling and placement	\$4,000	
Patrols	\$3,089	
Storm Event Total	\$7,089	
LLICIT CONNECTION/ILLEGAL DISCHARGE ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM	200 000	Engineering Services
Dry Weather Testing	\$20,000	
Enforcement	\$5,000	
Administration/Supervision	\$1,000	
Stormwater HotlineComplaints	\$2,000	
Illicit/Illegal Enforcement Program Total	\$28,000	
STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANS (SWPPP's)		
Construction Related SWPPP	\$2,000	
Plan Review (including SUSMP's)	\$15,000	
Inspection	\$3,000	
Construction Related SWPPP Subtotal	\$20,000	
Municipal Facility SWPPP		
SWPP Implementation, Inspection, and Maintenance of Municipal Facilities	\$18,798	Streets
Municipal Facility SWPPP Subtotal		
All SWPPP Activities Total	\$38,798	
BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP) IMPLEMENTATION		
Inspection of Industrial and Commercial Facilities	\$50,000	
Inspection of Municipal Facilities	\$1,000	
	\$51,000	
MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES	, , , , , ,	Engineering Services
Annual Reporting (JURMP)	\$5,000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Administration (General)	\$74,004	
NPDES Permit Fee	\$7,500	
Public Education/Outreach	\$5,000	
Miscellaneous Activities Total		
	0074.4:0	
PROGRAM TOTALS	\$371,440	

Note 1 - Program still in development stages, projected cost for next fiscal year

It should be noted that the cost of program implementation during the 2009-10 reporting period does not reflect the true cost of implementation. There are cost areas that were not considered in the analysis. For example, the cost of personnel in the Grounds division, who perform stormwater maintenance

activities as needed, but who do not separate those activities from their daily activities. The City is currently working to create a more thorough "type of work" tracking system to alleviate some of these omissions.

## 10.2 Funding Sources

There are a number of sources for program funding; grants, stormwater fees paid by both residents and businesses, fines, and general fund money to name a few. The City has created a stormwater fee for businesses and residents through developmental plan check fees, for businesses charged through the business license and solid waste services to residential users. The fees collected only fund approximately one third of the total stormwater program costs. currently are not allocated to any specific section of the program, but are used to ease various costs as needed. The City has integrated water quality compliance into its Code Enforcement program, which includes potential fines for continued non-compliance. However, the City does not foresee this as a steady revenue source for program funding, as compliance is the primary and desired outcome. Given California state law, the City finds difficulty in fully funding its stormwater program. Also given recent opposition State-wide to the creation of stormwater fees, the City is cautious in expanding its current funding strategy. As such, the City's General Fund will be required to continue subsidizing this increasingly costly program.

The total cost of the program for this reporting period equaled more than 3% of the General Fund budget. For comparative purposes, the cost of the stormwater program is equivalent to:

- Seven times the amount the City spends on its Code Enforcement program
- ❖ The City's expenditure on maintaining all of its parks, and
- ❖ 258 percent more than the City commits to youth sports and recreation programs.

Again, these comparisons are included to demonstrate the City's financial commitment to the program.

To further the City's and region's efforts in meeting the overall objective of the Stormwater program, the City challenges the Regional Board and the environmental community to take regional leadership in proposing a regional revenue source – similar to that of TRANSNET for transportation enhancements. The City's financial commitment to the program demonstrates the City's efforts in complying with the requirements of the JURMP and the Pemit. The City continues to be willing to brainstorm with Regional Board staff regarding this challenge. To date, this challenge has been outright rejected by Regional Board staff.